1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DIS	TRICT COURT	
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE		
9			
10	SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,	CASE NO. C18-0317JLR	
11	Plaintiffs,	MINUTE ORDER	
12	V.		
13	AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., et al.,		
14	Defendants.		
15	SRC LABS, LLC, et al.,	CASE NO. C18-0321JLR	
16	Plaintiffs,		
17	V.		
18	MICROSOFT CORPORATION,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable		
22	James L. Robart:		

1	On September 27, 2018, Plaintiffs SRC Labs, LLC and Saint Regis Mohawk		
2	Tribe's counsel, Michael Shore, mailed the court a letter. (See 0317 Dkt. # 107; 0321		
3	Dkt. # 115.) <sup>1</sup> The letter explained an oversight in Mr. Shore's <i>pro hac vice</i> application in		
4	which he failed to disclose a judicial reprimand from the District of Massachusetts. See		
5	Tr. of Bos. Univ. v. Everlight Elecs. Co., Ltd., No. 12-cv-11935-PBS (D. Mass. 2016),		
6	Dkt. # 1650. Mr. Shore's letter, however, contained its own oversights. Namely, Mr.		
7	Shore's letter was sent in regards to case number 2:17-cv-01227-LO-JFA. That is the		
8	case number from the Eastern District of Virginia, which transferred this case to this		
9	court on February 26, 2018. (See 0317 Dkt. # 64; see also 0317 Dkt. # 65; 0317 Dkt. #		
10	66.) In addition, Mr. Shore's letter attached his <i>pro hac vice</i> application in the Eastern		
11	District of Virginia (0317 Dkt. # 17), rather than his <i>pro hac vice</i> application in the		
12	Western District of Washington (0317 Dkt. # 86). Both failed to disclose the judicial		
13	reprimand. (See id.; 0317 Dkt. # 17.)		
14	Moreover, Mr. Shore did not mention that he is before this court in another matter		
15	See SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., C18-0321JLR. This case was also transferred		
16	from the Eastern District of Virginia. (See 0321 Dkt. # 50; see also 0321 Dkt. # 51; 0321		
17	Dkt. # 77.) Mr. Shore's two <i>pro hac vice</i> applications in connection with this matter		
18	suffer from the same neglect. (See 0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.)		
19			
20			
21	<sup>1</sup> Because this order pertains to two cases, the court clarifies that citations to "0317 Dkt." relate to the docket in <i>SRC Labs, LLC v. Amazon Web Services, Inc.</i> , No. C18-0317JLR (W.D.		
22	Wash. 2018), and citations to "0321 Dkt." relate to the docket in <i>SRC Labs, LLC v. Microsoft Corp.</i> , No. C18-0321JLR (W.D. Wash. 2018).		

Lastly, this letter supplements Mr. Shore's pro hac vice applications that were 1 filed on the respective cases' electronic dockets. (See 0317 Dkt. # 17; 0317 Dkt. # 86; 2 3 0321 Dkt. # 14; 0321 Dkt. # 69.) As such, this letter should have been placed on the electronic dockets. The way this letter came to the court—through an ex parte 4 communication—is improper. At a minimum, copies of this letter should have been sent 5 6 to all parties. 7 This court demands more accuracy and diligence from counsel. The court will 8 consider these oversights should it need to address future transgressions or evaluate the imposition of sanctions. 9 Filed and entered this 5th day of October, 2018. 10 11 WILLIAM M. MCCOOL Clerk of Court 12 s/ Ashleigh Drecktrah 13 Deputy Clerk 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22